

Data¹ obtained for the debates on the Refugee crisis

Corpus – “Has the refugee crisis damaged the trust in the European project?”, started 20/10/2015 (Future/Global channels), 264 comments²

Findings




Figure 1. The distribution of (de)legitimizing refugee crisis frames

¹ QDA miner - <http://provalisresearch.com/products/content-analysis-software/>

² This study was presented at the ‘e-connecting Europe’ international workshop – Bucharest October 14, 2016 [*Framing \(Mis\)Trust throughout the Refugee Crisis on the ‘Debating Europe’ Platform*](#) (Cristina COMAN & Alexandra BARDAN)




Figure 2. The distribution of emotions in debaters' comments on the refugee crisis
(anger directed towards bad politicians, guilt directed towards the EU, fear directed towards the refugees)

Visual framing of refugee crisis³




Figure 1. Cluster analysis
(participants' roles, emotions, (de)legitimizing strategies)

³ The visual framing of refugee crisis (in the themed debate *Europe's refugee crisis* – corpus – images posted on the platform, at the beginning of the nine debates under *Europe's refugee crisis*) was presented in the study *Picturing Europe's Refugee Crisis. Visual Framing of (Re)bordering Issues* (Camelia Cmeciu, Alexandra Bardan, Cristina Coman) – International Conference *PLACES, BOUNDARIES, COMMUNITIES. Mapping identities in a (dis)connected world*, Bucharest, 4-5 November 2016.




Figure 2. Co-occurrence of types of participants' roles and (de)legitimizing strategies by debate year
(Bubble plot)




Figure 3. Co-occurrence of types of participants' roles and emotions by debate year

(Bubble plot)